arXiv:1412.6336v1 [math.DG] 19 Dec 2014

SOME GEOMETRICAL PROPERTIES OF BERGER SPHERES

Y. KESHAVARZI

Abstract. Our aim in this paper is to investigate some geometrical properties of Berger Spheres i.e. homogeneous Ricci solitons and harmonicity properties of invariant vector fields. We determine all vector fields which are critical points for the energy functional restricted to vector fields. We also see that do not exist any vector fields defining harmonic map, and the energy of critical points is explicitly calculated.

1. Introduction

In Riemannian geometry, a Berger sphere, is a standard 3-sphere with Riemannian metric from a one-parameter family, which can be obtained from the standard metric by shrinking along fibers of a Hopf fibration. These spaces, found by M. Berger [5] in his classification of all simply connected normal homogeneous Riemannian manifolds of positive sectional curvature, have not constant curvature, and their metrics are obtained from the round metric on S^3 by deforming it along the fibers of the Hopf fibration $S^3 \to S^2$ by ϵ. In [12] the homogeneous Riemannian structures on the 3-dimensional Berger spheres, their corresponding reductive decompositions and the associated groups of isometries have been obtained. These spaces are of great interest in Riemannian geometry and provide nice examples; for instance, they served as counterexamples to a conjecture of Klingenberg about closed geodesics [25] and to conjectures on the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on spheres ([4], [28]). The Berger spheres S^3_ϵ are homogeneous Riemannian spaces diffeomorphic to the 3- dimensional sphere. The metrics so constructed are known as Berger metrics, they consist in a 1-parameter variation g_ϵ for $\epsilon > 0$. We will consider also the Lorentzian Berger metrics, i.e. when $\epsilon < 0$. Up to our knowledge, no geometrical properties such as homogeneous Ricci solitons have been obtained yet for Berger Spheres.
A natural generalization of an Einstein manifold is Ricci soliton, i.e. a pseudo Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M, such that the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_X g = \varsigma g - \varrho, \tag{1.1}$$

holds for some $\varsigma \in R$ and some smooth vector field X on M, where ϱ denotes the Ricci tensor of (M, g) and $\mathcal{L}_X$ is the usual Lie derivative. According to whether $\varsigma > 0, \varsigma = 0$ or $\varsigma < 0$, a Ricci soliton g is said to be shrinking, steady or expanding, respectively. A homogeneous Ricci soliton on a homogeneous space $M = G/H$ is a G-invariant metric g for which the equation (1.1) holds and an invariant Ricci soliton is a homogeneous apace, such that equation (1.1) is satisfied by an invariant vector field. Indeed, the study of Ricci solitons homogeneous spaces is an interesting area of research in pseudo-Riemannian geometry.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C50, 53C15, 53C25.
Key words and phrases. Berger spheres, Ricci solitons, Harmonic vector fields, Harmonic maps.

For example, evolution of homogeneous Ricci solitons under the bracket flow [19], algebraic solitons and the Alekseevskii Conjecture properties[20], conformally flat Lorentzian gradient Ricci solitons[6], properties of algebraic Ricci Solitons of three-dimensional Lorentzian Lie groups[3], algebraic Ricci solitons [2]. Non-Kähler examples of Ricci solitons are very hard to find yet (see [8]). In case (G, g) be a simply-connected completely solvable Lie group equipped with a left-invariant metric, and $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle , \rangle)$ be the corresponding metric Lie algebra, then (G, g) is a Ricci soliton if and only if $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle , \rangle)$ is an algebraic Ricci soliton [21].

On the other hand, investigating critical points of the energy associated to vector fields is an interesting purpose under different points of view. As an example by the Reeb vector field ξ of a contact metric manifold, somebody can see how the criticality of such a vector field is related to the geometry of the manifold ([23],[24]). Recently, it has been [14] proved that critical points of $E : \mathfrak{X}(M) \to R$, that is, the energy functional restricted to vector fields, are again parallel vector fields. Moreover, in the same paper it also has been determined the tension field associated to a unit vector field V, and investigated the problem of determining when V defines a harmonic map.

A Riemannian manifold admitting a parallel vector field is locally reducible, and the same is true for a pseudo-Riemannian manifold admitting an either space-like or time-like parallel vector field. This leads us to consider different situations, where some interesting types of non-parallel vector fields can be characterized in terms of harmonicity properties. We may refer to the recent monograph [11] and some references [17], [22] for an overview on harmonic vector fields.

This paper is organized in the following way. We devote section 2 to recall the definitions and to state the results we will need in the sequel. In Section 3, we investigate rquired conditions for Berger Spheres Ricci solitons. Harmonicity properties of vector fields on Berger Spheres will be determined in Sections 4. Finally, the energy of all these vector fields is explicitly calculated in Section 5.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $M = G/H$ be a homogeneous manifold (with H connected), $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G and $\mathfrak{h}$ the isotropy subalgebra. Consider $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ the factor space, which identies with a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$ complementary to $\mathfrak{h}$. The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ uniquely defines the isotropy representation

$$\psi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}), \quad \psi(x)(y) = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}, y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Suppose that $\{e_1, ..., e_r, u_1, ..., u_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, where $\{e_j\}$ and $\{u_i\}$ are bases of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ respectively, then with respect to $\{u_i\}$, H_j whould be the isotropy representation for e_j. g on $\mathfrak{m}$ uniquely defines its invariant linear Levi-Civita connection, as the corresponding homomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules $\Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ such that $\Lambda(x)(y_{\mathfrak{m}}) = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. In other word

$$\Lambda(x)(y_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \tfrac{1}{2}[x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}} + v(x, y) \tag{2.2}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, where $v : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{m}$ is the $\mathfrak{h}$-invariant symmetric mapping uniquely determined by

$$2g(v(x, y), z_{\mathfrak{m}}) = g(x_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + g(y_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, x]_{\mathfrak{m}})$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, Then the curvature tensor can be determined by

$$R : \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}), \qquad R(x,y) = [\Lambda(x), \Lambda(y)] - \Lambda([x,y]), \tag{2.3}$$

and with respect to u_i, the Ricci tensor ρ of g is given by

$$\rho(u_i, u_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} g(R(u_k, u_i)u_j, u_k), \quad i, j = 1, \ldots, 4. \tag{2.4}$$

Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and g_s be the Sasaki metric on the tangent bundle TM, then the energy of a smooth vector field $V : (M, g) \longrightarrow (TM, g^s)$ on M is;

$$E(V) = \frac{n}{2} vol(M, g) + \frac{1}{2} \int_M ||\nabla V||^2 dv \tag{2.5}$$

(assuming M compact; in the non-compact case, one works over relatively compact domains see [7]). If $V : (M, g) \longrightarrow (TM, g^s)$ be a critical point for the energy functional, then V is said to define a harmonic map. The Euler-Lagrange equations characterize vector fields V defining harmonic maps as the ones whose tension field $\theta(V) = tr(\nabla^2 V)$ vanishes. Consequently, V defines a harmonic map from (M, g) to (TM, g^s) if and only if

$$tr[R(\nabla_\cdot V, V)_\cdot] = 0, \quad \nabla^* \nabla V = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where with respect to an orthonormal local frame $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ on (M, g), with $\varepsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i) = \pm 1$ for all indices i, one has

$$\nabla^* \nabla V = \textstyle\sum_i \varepsilon_i (\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_i} V - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i} V).$$

A smooth vector field V is said to be a harmonic section if and only if it is a critical point of $E^v(V) = (1/2) \int_M ||\nabla V||^2 dv$ where E^v is the vertical energy. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are given by

$$\nabla^* \nabla V = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

Let $\mathfrak{X}^\rho(M) = \{V \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : ||V||^2 = \rho^2\}$ and $\rho \neq 0$. Then, one can consider vector fields $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ which are critical points for the energy functional $E|_{\mathfrak{X}^\rho(M)}$, restricted to vector fields of the same constant length. The Euler-Lagrange equations of this variational condition are given by

$$\nabla^* \nabla V \quad is \quad collinear \quad to \quad V. \tag{2.8}$$

As usual, condition (2.8) is taken as a definition of critical points for the energy functional restricted to vector fields of the same length in the non-compact case.

3. Homogeneous Ricci solitons on Berger spheres

Following [12] the sphere $\mathbb{S}^3$ and the Lie group $SU(2)$ have been identified by the map that sends $(z, w) \in \mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ to

$$\begin{pmatrix} z & w \\ -\bar{w} & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2).$$

We consider the basis $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of $SU(2)$ given by

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{i} \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.9}$$

Then, the Lie brackets are determined by

$$[X_1, X_2] = 2X_3, \quad [X_2, X_3] = 2X_1, \quad [X_3, X_1] = 2X_2. \tag{3.10}$$

The one-parameter family $\{g_\epsilon : \epsilon > 0\}$ of left-invariant Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{S}^3 = SU(2)$ given at the identity, with respect to the basis of left-invariant vector fields X_1, X_2, X_3 by

$$g_\epsilon = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.11}$$

are called the Berger metrics on $\mathbb{S}^3$; if $\epsilon = 1$ we have the canonical (bi-invariant) metric and for $\epsilon < 0$ the one-parameter family $\{g_\epsilon : \epsilon < 0\}$ are left-invariant Lorentzian metrics. The Berger spheres are the simply connected complete Riemannian manifolds $\mathbb{S}^3_\epsilon = (\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$. We will use the name "Lorentzian Berger spheres" for case $\epsilon < 0$.
Setting $\Lambda_i = \nabla_{e_i}$, the components of the Levi-Civita connection are calculated using the well known *Koszul* formula and are

$$\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon - 2 \\ 0 & 2 - \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\epsilon & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (2.3) we can determine the non-zero curvature components;

$$\begin{array}{lll} R(X_1, X_2)X_1 = \epsilon^2 X_2, & R(X_1, X_2)X_2 = -\epsilon X_1, & R(X_1, X_3)X_3 = -\epsilon X_1, \\ R(X_1, X_3)X_1 = \epsilon^2 X_3, & R(X_2, X_3)X_2 = (4 - 3\epsilon)X_3, & R(X_2, X_3)X_3 = (3\epsilon - 4)X_2. \end{array}$$

Since $R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W)$ we have;

$$R(X_1, X_2, X_1, X_2) = R(X_1, X_3, X_1, X_3) = \epsilon^2, \quad R(X_2, X_3, X_2, X_3) = (4 - 3\epsilon).$$

Applying the Ricci tensor formula (2.4), we get;

$$(\rho)_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 - 2\epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 - 2\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.13}$$

which is diagonal with eigenvalues $r_1 = 2\epsilon^2$ and $r_2 = r_3 = 4 - 2\epsilon$.
For an arbitrary left-invariant vector field $X = aX_1 + bX_2 + cX_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ we have;

$$\nabla_{X_1} X = (\epsilon - 2)(cX_2 - bX_3), \quad \nabla_{X_2} X = cX_1 - a\epsilon X_3, \quad \nabla_{X_3} X = -bX_1 + a\epsilon X_2.$$

Using the relation $(L_X g)(Y, Z) = g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z X)$ we have;

$$L_X g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2(1 - \epsilon)c & 2(\epsilon - 1)b \\ 2(1 - \epsilon)c & 0 & 0 \\ 2(\epsilon - 1)b & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.14}$$

By the Ricci soliton formula (1.1), we get the following system of differential equations;

$$\begin{array}{c} 2(1-\epsilon)c=0,\\ 2(\epsilon-1)b=0,\\ 2\epsilon^2-\lambda\epsilon=0,\\ 4-2\epsilon-\lambda=0 \end{array} \tag{3.15}$$

If $\epsilon=1$, then from (3.13) we can see $\rho_{ij}=\lambda g_{ij}$ for all indices i, j and therefore g_1 is an Einstein metric on $\mathbb{S}^3$. So, we suppose that $\epsilon\neq 1$. From the first and the second equations in (3.15) we get $b=c=0$ and the third and the last equations in (3.15) give us $\epsilon=0$ and $\lambda=4$. Therefore the only solution occurs when $\epsilon=0$, and for an arbitrary $\epsilon\neq 0,1$ the system of differential equations (3.15) is incompatible. Thus, we have the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3,g_\epsilon)$ be a Berger sphere ($\epsilon>0$). Then $(\mathbb{S}^3,g_\epsilon)$ can not be a homogeneous Ricci soliton manifold.*

We proved the following result too.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3,g_\epsilon)$ be a Lorentzian Berger sphere ($\epsilon<0$). Then $(\mathbb{S}^3,g_\epsilon)$ can not be a homogeneous Ricci soliton manifold.*

Remark 1. *Proposition* (3.2) *confirms the classification result in* [6]*, while Proposition* (3.1) *emphasizes that there are no left-invariant Ricci solitons on three-dimensional Riemannian Lie groups* [10]*.*

A D' Atri space is defined as a Riemannian manifold (M,g) whose local geodesic symmetries are volumepreserving. Let us recall that the property of being a D' Atri space is equivalent to the infinite number of curvature identities called the odd Ledger conditions L_{2k+1}, $k\geq 1$ (see [9], [27]). In particular, the two first non-trivial Ledger conditions are:

$$L_3:(\nabla_X\rho)(X,X)=0,\quad L_5:\sum_{a,b=1}^{n}R(X,E_a,X,E_b)(\nabla_X R)(X,E_a,X,E_b)=0, \tag{3.16}$$

where X is any tangent vector at any point $m\in M$ and $\{E_1,...,E_n\}$ is any orthonormal basis of T_mM. Here R denotes the curvature tensor and ρ the Ricci tensor of (M,g), respectively, and $n=dimM$.
Thus, it is natural to start with the investigation of all homogeneous Riemannian Berger spheres satisfying the simplest Ledger condition L_3, which is the first approximation of the D' Atri property. This condition is called in [26] "the class $\mathcal{A}$ condition". Equivalently Ledger condition L_3 holds if and only if the Ricci tensor is cyclic-parallel, i.e. $(\nabla_X\rho)(Y,Z)+(\nabla_Y\rho)(Z,X)+(\nabla_Z\rho)(X,Y)=0$. For more detail see [7].

Proposition 3.3. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3,g_\epsilon)$ be a Berger sphere. Then $(\mathbb{S}^3,g_\epsilon)$ is a D' Atri space which its first approximation holds.*

Proof. In Ledger condition L_3,

$$\nabla_i\rho_{jk}=-\textstyle\sum_t(\varepsilon_jB_{ijt}\rho_{tk}+\varepsilon_kB_{ikt}\rho_{tj}),$$

where B_{ijk} components can be obtained by the relation $\nabla_{e_i}e_j=\sum_k\varepsilon_jB_{ijk}e_k$ with $\varepsilon_i=g(e_i,e_i)=\pm1$ for all indices i. Hence $\nabla_1\rho_{11}=\nabla_2\rho_{22}=\nabla_3\rho_{33}=0$, as desired. □

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold which admits a parallel degenerate distribution is called a *Walker* manifold. Walker spaces were introduced by Arthur Geoffrey Walker in 1949. The existence of such structures causes many interesting properties for the manifold with no Riemannian counterpart. Walker also determined a standard local coordinates for these kind of manifolds [29, 30].

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ be a Lorentzian Berger sphere ($\epsilon < 0$). Then $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ can not be a Walker manifold..*

Proof. Set $X = aX_1 + bX_2 + cX_3 \in \mathfrak{su}$ and suppose that $\mathcal{D} = \text{span}(X)$ is an invariant null parallel line field. Then, the following equations must satisfy for some parameters $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_3$

$$\nabla_{X_1} X = \omega_1 X, \quad \nabla_{X_2} X = \omega_2 X, \quad \nabla_{X_3} X = \omega_3 X.$$

By straight forward calculations we conclude that the following equations must satisfy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega_1 a = 0, & \omega_1 b + c(2-\epsilon) = 0, & \omega_1 c + b(\epsilon - 2) = 0, \\ \omega_2 b = 0, & \omega_2 a - c = 0, & -\omega_2 c + a\epsilon = 0, \\ \omega_3 c = 0, & \omega_3 a + b = 0, & \omega_3 b - a = 0. \end{array}$$

X is null, hence X must satisfy $g(X, X) = a^2\epsilon + b^2 + c^2 = 0$ described in (3.11). For solving the above system of equations as we can see, since $\epsilon \neq 0$, a non-trivial solution can not occur. □

4. Harmonicity of vector fields on Berger spheres

In this section we investigate the harmonicity of invariant vector fields on a Berger sphere $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$, in both Riemannian ($\epsilon > 0$) and Lorentzian ($\epsilon < 0$). We treat separately the case when g is Lorentzian and the Riemannian case.

4.1. **Lorentzian case.** In Lorentzian case ($\epsilon < 0$) we can construct an orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ with respect to g_ϵ;

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\epsilon}} X_1, \quad e_2 = X_2, \quad e_3 = X_3. \tag{4.17}$$

and we get;

$$[e_1, e_2] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\epsilon}} e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 2\sqrt{-\epsilon} e_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\epsilon}} e_2. \tag{4.18}$$

Considering formula (2.2) the connection components are;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = \frac{2-\epsilon}{\sqrt{-\epsilon}} e_3, & \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = \frac{\epsilon-2}{\sqrt{-\epsilon}} e_2, & \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = \sqrt{-\epsilon} e_3, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = \sqrt{-\epsilon} e_1, & \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = -\sqrt{-\epsilon} e_2, & \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -\sqrt{-\epsilon} e_1, \end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

while $\nabla_{e_i} e_j = 0$ in the remaining cases.
For an arbitrary left-invariant vector field $V = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ we can now use (4.19) to calculate $\nabla_{e_i} V$ for all indices i. We get

$$\begin{array}{c} \nabla_{e_1} V = \frac{\epsilon-2}{\sqrt{-\epsilon}} ce_2 + \frac{2-\epsilon}{\sqrt{-\epsilon}} be_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} V = \sqrt{-\epsilon} ce_1 + \sqrt{-\epsilon} ae_3, \\ \nabla_{e_3} V = -\sqrt{-\epsilon} be_1 - \sqrt{-\epsilon} ae_2. \end{array} \tag{4.20}$$

From (4.20) it follows at once that there are no parallel vector fields $V \neq 0$ belonging to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. We can now calculate $\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}V$ and $\nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i}V$ for all indices i. We obtain

$$\begin{array}{cc} \nabla_{e_1}\nabla_{e_1}V = \frac{(\epsilon-2)^2}{\epsilon}(be_2+ce_3), & \nabla_{e_2}\nabla_{e_2}V = -\epsilon(ae_1+ce_3), \\ \nabla_{e_3}\nabla_{e_3} - \epsilon(ae_1+be_2)), & \nabla_{\nabla_{e_1}e_1}V = 0, \\ \nabla_{\nabla_{e_2}e_2}V = 0, & \nabla_{\nabla_{e_3}e_3}V = 0. \end{array} \tag{4.21}$$

Thus, we find

$$\nabla^*\nabla V = \sum_i \varepsilon_i(\nabla_{e_i}\nabla_{e_i}V - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}e_i}V) = -2\epsilon a e_1 - 2(\frac{\epsilon^2-2\epsilon+2}{\epsilon})(be_2+ce_3). \tag{4.22}$$

Since $\nabla^*\nabla V = -2(\frac{\epsilon^2-2\epsilon+2}{\epsilon})V + \frac{4-4\epsilon}{\epsilon}ae_1$, condition (2.8) results that $a = 0$. In the other direction, let $V = -2(\frac{\epsilon^2-2\epsilon+2}{\epsilon})(be_2+ce_3)$. A direct calculation yields that $\nabla^*\nabla V = -2(\frac{\epsilon^2-2\epsilon+2}{\epsilon})V$.
On the other hand, from (4.22) since $\nabla^*\nabla V = -2\epsilon V + 4\frac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}(be_2+ce_3)$, then (2.8) results that $b = c = 0$. Vice versa, let $V = ae_1$. By standard calculations we obtain $\nabla^*\nabla V = -2\epsilon V$. Thus, we have the following.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ be a Lorentzian Berger sphere and $V = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ be a left-invariant vector field on the Berger sphere for some real constants a, b, c.*

- *(a) V is a critical point for the energy functional restricted to vector fields of the same length if and only if $V = -2(\frac{\epsilon^2-2\epsilon+2}{\epsilon})(be_2+ce_3)$. However, none of these vector fields is harmonic (in particular, defines a harmonic map).*
- *(b) V is a critical point for the energy functional restricted to vector fields of the same length if and only if $V = -2\epsilon a e_1$. However, none of these vector fields is harmonic (in particular, defines a harmonic map).*

4.2. **Riemannian case.** Consider now a Riemannian Berger sphere $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$. $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ admits a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$, where

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}X_1, \quad e_2 = X_2, \quad e_3 = X_3. \tag{4.23}$$

The calculations are rather similar to the Lorentzian case. For this reason, details will be omitted. For an arbitrary vector field $V = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$, we find

$$\nabla^*\nabla V = -2\epsilon a e_1 - 2(\frac{\epsilon^2-2\epsilon+2}{\epsilon})(be_2+ce_3), \tag{4.24}$$

which is similar to the Lorentzian case. So, we proved the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Each vector field $V = ae_1$ and $V = be_2 + ce_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ on a Riemannian Berger sphere $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$ are a critical point for the energy functional restricted to vector fields of the same length. However, none of these vector fields is harmonic (in particular, defines a harmonic map).*

Therefore by theorems 4.1 and 4.2 there is no harmonic vector field nor harmonic map on Berger spheres.

4.3. **Geodesic and Killing vector fields.** A vector field V is geodesic if $\nabla_V V = 0$, and is Killing if $\mathcal{L}_V g = 0$, where $\mathcal{L}$ denotes the Lie derivative. X is Killing if and only if $g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_Z X)$ for all $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$(the equation above is called the Killing equation). Parallel vector fields are both geodesic and Killing, and vector fields with these special geometric features often have particular harmonicity properties [1, 13, 15, 18]. By standard calculations we obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ be the Berger sphere and $V \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ be a left-invariant vector field on the Berger sphere, then V is geodesic if and only if $V = be_2 + ce_3$ or $V = ae_1$. Moreover, by (3.14) V is Killing if and only if $V = ae_1$.*

In particular, from theorems 4.1, 4.2 and proposition 4.3 a straightforward calculation proves the following main classification result.

Theorem 4.4. *For a vector field $V = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \in \mathfrak{su}$ on the $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *V is geodesic;*
2. *V is a critical point for the energy functional restricted to vector fields of the same length;*
3. *$V = be_2 + ce_3$ or $V = ae_1$.*
4. *V is Killing if and only if $b = c = 0$.*

5. The energy of vector fields on Berger spheres

We calculate explicitly the energy of a vector field $V \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ of a Berger sphere. This gives us the opportunity to determine some critical values of the energy functional on Berger spheres. We shall first discuss geometric properties of the map V defined by a vector field $V \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ be a Berger sphere, $V = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ be a left-invariant vector field on the Berger sphere for some real constants a, b, c. Denote by $E(V)$ the energy of V.*

(a) *In the Lorentzian case ($\epsilon < 0$) the energy of V is*

$$E(V) = (2 + \tfrac{(\epsilon-2)^2+\epsilon^2}{2\epsilon}||V||^2 - 2\tfrac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}a^2)vol(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon).$$

(b) *In the Riemannian case ($\epsilon > 0$) the energy of V is*

$$E(V) = (2 + \tfrac{(\epsilon-2)^2+\epsilon^2}{2\epsilon}||V||^2 + 2\tfrac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}a^2)vol(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon).$$

Proof. Let $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ be the Berger sphere. For case (a) consider the local orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of vector fields described in (4.17). Then, locally,

$$||\nabla V||^2 = \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i g(\nabla_{e_i} V, \nabla_{e_i} V).$$

Let $V \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ be a left-invariant vector field on the Berger sphere, then (4.20) easily yields that

$$||\nabla V||^2 = \tfrac{(\epsilon-2)^2+\epsilon^2}{\epsilon}||V||^2 - 4\tfrac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}a^2.$$

In the Riemannian case consider the local orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of vector fields, where e_i is the base described in (4.23). After a similar and straightforward calculation we find that

$$||\nabla V||^2 = \tfrac{(\epsilon-2)^2+\epsilon^2}{\epsilon}||V||^2 + 4\tfrac{\epsilon-1}{\epsilon}a^2.$$

□

We already know from Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 which vector fields in $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of Berger spheres are critical points for the energy functional. Taking into account Proposition (5.1), we then have the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ be the Berger sphere (in both Riemannian and Lorntzian cases).*

(a) $(2 + (\frac{(\epsilon^2-2)^2+\epsilon^2}{2\epsilon})\rho^2)vol(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ *is the minimum value of the energy functional E restricted to vector fields of constant length ρ. Such a minimum is attained by all vector fields $V = be_2 + ce_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ of length $||V|| = \rho = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2}$.*

(b) $(2 + \epsilon\rho^2)vol(\mathbb{S}^3, g_\epsilon)$ *is the minimum value of the energy functional E restricted to vector fields of constant length ρ. Such a minimum is attained by all vector fields $V = ae_1 \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ of length $||V|| = \rho = \sqrt{a^2}$.*

As we can see, this section completes the results in [16].

Acknowledgements The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude toward the professor Anna Fino for her valuable remarks and comments.

References

[1] M.T.K. Abbassi, G. Calvaruso, D. Perrone, *Harmonicity of unit vector fields with respect to Riemannian g-natural metrics*, Differential Geom. Appl, 2009, 27 (1), 157-169.

[2] W. Batat, K. Onda, *Algebraic Ricci Solitons of four-dimensional pseudo- Riemannian generalized symmetric spaces*, Results. Math. 64 (2013), 253267.

[3] W. Batat, K. Onda, *Algebraic Ricci Solitons of three-dimensional Lorentzian Lie groups*, arXiv:1112.2455v2.

[4] L. Berard-Bergery, J.P. Bourguignon, *Laplacians and Riemannian submersions with totally geodesic fibres*, Illinois J. Math. 26, 181-200 (1982).

[5] M. Berger, *Les varietes riemanniennes homogenes normales simplement connexes a courbure strictement positive*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa 15, 179-246 (1961).

[6] M. Brozos-vazquez, E. Garcia-Rio, S. Gavino-Fernandez, *Locally conformally flat Lorentzian gradient Ricci solitons*, J. Geom. Anal, 2013, 23: 1196-1212.

[7] G. Calvaruso, *Harmonicity properties of invariant vector fields on three-dimensional Lorentzian Lie groups*, J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011), 498-515.

[8] A. Dancer, S. Hall, M. Wang, *Cohomogeneity One Shrinking Ricci Solitons: An Analytic and Numerical Study*, Asian J. Math., in press (arXiv).

[9] J.E. D Atri, H.K. Nickerson, *Divergence preserving geodesic symmetries*, J. Differential Geom. 3 (1969) 467-476.

[10] L. F. di Cerbo, *Generic properties of homogeneous Ricci solitons*, arXiv:0711.0465v1.

[11] S. Dragomir and D. Perrone, *Harmonic Vector Fields*: Variational Principles and Differential Geometry, Elsevier, Science Ltd, 2011.

[12] P.M. Gadea and J.A. Oubina, *Homogeneous Riemannian Structures on Berger 3-Spheres*, Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (Series 2), Volume 48, Issue 02, June 2005, 375-387

[13] O. Gil-Medrano, *Unit vector fields that are critical points of the volume and of the energy: characterization and examples, In: Complex, Contact and Symmetric Manifolds*, Progr. Math., 234, Birkhuser, Boston, 2005, 165-186.

[14] O. Gil-Medrano, *Relationship between volume and energy of vector fields*, Diff. Geom. Appl. 15 (2001), 137-152.
[15] O. Gil-Medrano, A. Hurtado, *Spacelike energy of timelike unit vector fields on a Lorentzian manifold*, J. Geom. Phys., 2004, 51(1), 82-100.
[16] O. Gil-Medrano, A. Hurtado, *Volume, energy and generalized energy of unit vector fields on Berger spheres: stability of Hopf vector fields*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 2005, 135(4), 789-813.
[17] T. Ishihara, *Harmonic sections of tangent bundles*, J. Math. Tokushima Univ., 1979, 13, 23-27.
[18] D. S. Han, J. W. Yim, *Unit vector fields on spheres, which are harmonic maps*, Math. Z. 1998, 227(1), 83-92.
[19] R. Lafunte, J. Lauret, *On homogeneous Ricci solitons*, arXiv:1210.3656v1
[20] R. Lafunte, J. Lauret, *Structure of homogeneous Ricci solitons and the Alekseevskii conjectur*, J. Diff. Geom, Volume 98, Number 2 (2014), 315-347.
[21] J. Lauret, *Ricci soliton solvmanifolds*, J. reine angew. Math., 650 (2011), 1-21.
[22] O. Nouhaud, *Applications harmoniques dune varit riemannienne dans son fibr tangent*, Gnralisation, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A-B, 1977, 284(14), A815-A818.
[23] D. Perrone, *Harmonic characteristic vector fields on contact metric three-manifolds*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 67 (2003), 305-315.
[24] D. Perrone, *Contact metric manifolds whose characteristc vector field is a harmonic vector field*, Diff. Geom. Appl. 20 (2004), 367-378.
[25] P. Petersen, *Riemannian Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
[26] F. Podesta, A. Spiro, *Four-dimensional Einstein-like manifolds and curvature homogeneity*, Geom. Dedicata 54 (1995) 225-243.
[27] Z.I. Szab, *Spectral theory for operator families on Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 54 (3) (1993) 615-665.
[28] H. Urakawa, *On the least positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact group manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979), 209-226.
[29] A.G. Walker, *On parallel fields of partially null vector spaces*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **20** (1949), 135-145.
[30] A.G. Walker, *Canonical form for a Riemannian space with a parallel field of null planes*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) **1** (1950), 69-79.

Department of Mathematics, Payame noor University, P.O. Box 19395-3697, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail address: Yadollah Keshavarzi: y.keshavarzi@pnu.ac.ir